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ABSTRACT

The physical properties of compressional and shear-wave velocity, bulk and grain density, porosity, electrical resistivity, and fluid permeability were measured on basalt samples cored from the Galapagos during Leg 70.

These basalts are fresh and have higher density and sonic velocity than do those from older ocean crust. In addition, basalts from Sites 506, 507, and 508 (0.54 to 0.85 m.y.) have higher grain density and lower sonic velocity than do those from Site 510 (2.73 m.y.), which is probably the result of the higher Fe and Ti content of the former.

Mean fluid permeability was estimated to be about $1.3 \times 10^{-16}$ cm$^2$ and the size of pores responsible for fluid flow was estimated by means of the relationships between permeability versus resistivity and permeability versus porosity to be about 0.03 μm or more. The permeability of laboratory samples is much lower than the large-scale permeability ($10^{-31} - 10^{-10}$ cm$^2$) (Anderson et al., 1977; Karato and Becker, this volume), suggesting that hydrothermal circulation in basaltic layers may occur through larger-scale fissures whose width is about three orders of magnitude greater than that of laboratory samples.

INTRODUCTION

During Deep Sea Drilling Project (DSDP) Leg 70, drilling of basement rocks was attempted in the young oceanic crust near the Galapagos Spreading Center.

Although total penetration and recovery (~ 15%) were very low as a result of the occurrence of loose rubble and/or highly fractured rock at the sediment/basement interface, the basalts cored from this area are of special importance since geophysical and geochemical field studies show evidence of active hydrothermal circulation in this oceanic crust (e.g., Williams et al., 1974; Corliss et al., 1979; Green et al., 1981).

This chapter will present the results of measurements of physical properties of basalts from the Galapagos region and discuss their geophysical implications. Physical properties studied include: wet-bulk density, grain density, porosity, sonic velocity, electrical resistivity, and fluid permeability. All the measurements except porosity were made on seawater-saturated samples with a 2.5-cm diameter and a 2- to 4-cm length. The results of the measurements and their mean values are given in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Histograms of physical properties are given in Figure 1.

It should be noted that since the recovery was very low and since the measurements were made only on unfractured samples, the results reported here may not be representative of in situ physical properties. A good deal of the sections which were not recovered are probably broken and fractured rocks, possibly with lower densities.

GRAVIMETRIC MEASUREMENTS

The wet-bulk density of basalts was determined by weighing the samples in air to determine their mass and then suspending them in seawater to determine their volume. Water content was determined by weighing the samples, both when saturated with seawater and after drying at 110°C for 24 hours. Porosity and grain density were calculated from the wet-bulk density and water content data. The reproducibility of measurements was about 0.005 g, thus the accuracy of density is about 0.1% and that of porosity is ±1%.

The wet-bulk density of 14 basalts ranges from 2.90 to 2.99 g/cm$^3$ with a mean of 2.94 ± 0.02 g/cm$^3$, which is higher than average in DSDP basalts (Christensen and Salisbury, 1975).

The grain density of 14 basalts ranges from 2.97 to 3.06 g/cm$^3$ with a mean of 3.01 ± 0.03 g/cm$^3$. Of particular interest is that the grain density of basalts from young Galapagos sites (Sites 506, 507, and 508, 0.54 to 0.85 m.y.) is significantly higher than the densities of basalts from Site 510 (2.73 m.y.)—values from Site 510 being comparable to or slightly larger than those of other “normal” basalts, such as at Sites 417 and 418 (Hamano, 1979) or Site 504 (Karato and Wilkens, in press; see Figs. 2 and 8). This high grain density of young Galapagos basalts may be attributed to their high Fe (and Ti) content (Hekinian et al., 1978). The difference in average grain density between young (Sites 506, 507, 508) and old (Site 510) Galapagos basalts is about 0.04 ± 0.02 g/cm$^3$ (see Figs. 1 and 2), corresponding to the difference in Fe content of about 5 ± 3%.

The porosity of 14 basalts ranges from 2.7 to 6.5% with a mean of 4.0 ± 1.2%. The porosity of Galapagos basalts is low compared to that of other areas (e.g., Site 417, Hamano, 1979; Site 332, Hyndman and Drury, 1976), suggesting their freshness.

SONIC VELOCITY

Compressive velocity $V_p$ (for 16 basalts) and shear-wave velocity $V_s$ (for seven basalts) were measured under atmospheric pressure by a standard pulse transmission method using the mercury delay line at frequency of 1
Table 1. Physical properties of basalts from the Galapagos.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample (interval in cm)</th>
<th>Wet-Bulk Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Grain Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
<th>Compressional-Wave Velocity (km/s)</th>
<th>Shear-Wave Velocity (km/s)</th>
<th>Poisson's Ratio</th>
<th>Electrical Resistivity (Ωm)</th>
<th>Fluid Permeability (cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>506G2-1, 68-88</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>507B-1-1, 18-20</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>507B-1-1, 43-45</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>1.3 x 10⁻¹⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>507C-3-1, 28-30</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508B-1-1, 5-7</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.0 x 10⁻¹⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508C-1, 5-7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510-8-1, 100-102</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510-1-1, 24-26</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>4.0 x 10⁻¹⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510-9-1, 80-82</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510-9-2, 6-8</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510-9-2, 82-94</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>9.5 x 10⁻¹⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510-9-2, 94-96</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510-9-2, 135-137</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>3.1 x 10⁻¹⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510-9-3, 16-18</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>3.1 x 10⁻¹⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510-9-3, 32-34</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>510-10-1, 24-26</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Average physical properties of basalts (numbers in parentheses = no. of measurements).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Properties</th>
<th>Present Results</th>
<th>Hole 504B Basalts</th>
<th>Leg 57 Basalts</th>
<th>Leg 51-53 Basalts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wet-Bulk Density (g/cm³)</td>
<td>2.94 ± 0.02 (14)</td>
<td>2.93 ± 0.05 (16)</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.08 (101)</td>
<td>2.79 ± 0.13 (185)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain Density (g/cm³)</td>
<td>3.01 ± 0.03 (14)</td>
<td>3.01 ± 0.03 (64)</td>
<td>2.95 ± 0.05 (65)</td>
<td>2.91 ± 0.04 (104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity (%)</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.2 (14)</td>
<td>4.1 ± 2.2 (64)</td>
<td>7.8 ± 4.1 (65)</td>
<td>8.4 ± 5.5 (104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressional-Wave Velocity (km/s)</td>
<td>5.85 ± 0.29 (16)</td>
<td>5.99 ± 0.31 (55)</td>
<td>5.94 ± 0.34d (79)</td>
<td>5.48 ± 0.48 (199)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear-Wave Velocity (km/s)</td>
<td>3.32 ± 0.13 (7)</td>
<td>3.27 ± 0.24d (37)</td>
<td>3.10 ± 0.21 (45)</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0.22 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson's Ratio</td>
<td>0.283 ± 0.012 (7)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.295 ± 0.011d (37)</td>
<td>0.282 ± 0.011 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Resistivity (Ωm)</td>
<td>84.9 (15)</td>
<td>46.3 (50)</td>
<td>220 (48)</td>
<td>120 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability (cm²)</td>
<td>1.3 x 10⁻¹⁶ (5)</td>
<td>4.7 x 10⁻¹⁶ (33)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.1 x 10⁻¹⁶ (16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Karato (in press).
* Hyndman and Drury (1976).
* Measured at 0.5 kHz.
* Geometric mean.
* Air permeability.

Figure 1. Histograms of physical properties.

Figure 2. Wet-bulk density versus porosity for constant grain density of 3.05 and 3.00 g/cm³.

MHz (for Vp) and 500 kHz (for Vs). The accuracy of the measurements, found to be about 1% and 2% for Vp and Vs, respectively, was checked by repeating them several times and by using aluminum standards.

Compressional-wave velocity ranges from 5.42 to 6.27 km/s, with a mean of 5.85 ± 0.29 km/s. This mean value of Vp is higher than that of average DSDP basalts (Christensen and Salisbury, 1975) and basalts from 100 m.y. old Atlantic floor (Holes 417D and 418A; Hamano, 1979). Such a high average value of sonic velocity is common for young oceanic basalts (e.g., Hyndman and Drury, 1976; Kirkpatrick, 1979) and may reflect the freshness of young oceanic basalts.

More specifically, it is notable that the sonic velocity of young Galapagos basalts is significantly lower than that of old Galapagos basalts (Fig. 1), although the wet-bulk densities are similar. The wet-bulk density versus Vp relationship shown in Figure 3 clearly demonstrates...
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Figure 3. Relationship between compressional-wave velocity and wet-bulk density. Shaded area shows the range of velocity versus density relation for basalts from Hole 504B (Karato, in press).

this difference. Most of the data points for old Galapagos basalts fall within the range of density versus \( V_p \) plots for Site 504 basalts (Karato, in press), which is shown by the shaded area in Figure 3. In addition, they are nearly equivalent to basalts from other sites (e.g., Site 417 and 418; Hamano, 1979). However, the data points of young Galapagos basalts fall significantly below this trend. This difference in the density versus velocity plots between young and old Galapagos basalts may be attributed to their difference in bulk chemistry. Hekinian et al. (1978) show that basalts from young Galapagos have unusually high Fe and Ti content. In general, rocks with higher Fe and Ti content have lower sonic velocity compared at equal densities (Birch, 1961). If the relationship between velocity, density, and mean atomic number of rocks (Birch's law) is applicable, one can estimate the difference in mean atomic number between young and old Galapagos basalts at about 0.5 ± 0.2, which correspond to the difference in Fe (and Ti) content of about 4 ± 2%. This is in good agreement with grain density data.

The shear-wave velocity of seven basalts ranges from 3.15 to 3.47 km/s with a mean of 3.32 ± 0.13 km/s. Poisson's ratio, calculated from \( V_p \) and \( V_s \), ranges from 0.260 to 0.296 with a mean of 0.283 ± 0.012.

ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY

The electrical resistivity of 15 basalts was measured at laboratory temperatures (22-25°C) and atmospheric pressure using a 1 kHz AC bridge. The Pt electrodes were attached to both ends of the cylindrical samples and a rubber jacket wrapped around the sample surface to prevent water evaporation during measurements. The resistivity of the samples can be determined to within 1% error, but the reproducibility is only about 10%. Electrical resistivity ranges from 46.0 to 222 \( \Omega \)m with a geometric mean of 84.9 \( \Omega \)m. A histogram of electrical resistivity is shown in Figure 1, and electrical resistivity is plotted against porosity in Figure 4.

Applying Archie's law (Archie, 1942),

\[
\frac{\rho}{\rho_f} = \phi^{-q}
\]

where \( \rho \) is the resistivity of (sea) water saturated rock, \( \rho_f \) is the resistivity of (sea) water (= 0.2 \( \Omega \)m), \( \phi \) is the porosity, and the exponent \( q \), a constant, is estimated at 1.91 ± 0.22 (Fig. 4). In Archie's law \( q \) may represent the connectivity of pores (Shankland and Waff, 1975). When the connectivity does not increase with porosity, as could be expected for very flat pore shape, \( q \) is equal to unity. If the connectivity of pores increases with porosity, \( q \) is larger than unity, and \( q \) is equal to 2 for cylindrical pore shapes (Brace et al., 1965; Shankland and Waff, 1975). The value of \( q \) (= 1.91 ± 0.22), therefore, suggests that the pores which are responsible for carrying electric charge have nearly equidimensional shape, i.e., cylindrical.

The foregoing discussion, however, depends on the nature of porosity. Though only connected pores are responsible for electrical resistivity, the values estimated
by the present method may include isolated pores. Thus, the measured values represent only the upper limit of the porosity due to connected pores. The data points in Figure 4 should, therefore, be shifted to the left if the abscissa represents the porosity due to connected pores; in this case \( q \) may be smaller than that estimated.

Electrical resistivity was measured for two typical samples (fresh basalt, 507B-1-1, 43-45 cm and a relatively weathered basalt, 510-9-2, 82-84 cm) at temperatures ranging from laboratory temperature to about 80°C. Measurements were made while temperatures were both increasing and decreasing, and no hysteresis was found. Activation energy of electrical conduction, \( E^* \), was estimated by assuming Arrhenius's relation. The results are shown in Figure 5. The activation energy of fresh basalt is close to that of seawater. On the other hand, that of weathered basalt is larger than that of seawater, probably because of the effects of clay minerals on electrical conductivity. At any rate, these activation energies are much smaller than that of dry basalts (Hyndman et al., 1979), suggesting that conduction through pore fluids (with some interactions with clay minerals) is a major avenue for electrical conduction in oceanic basalts.

**FLUID PERMEABILITY**

The permeability of seawater through five basalt samples was measured by a standard, constant head-pressure method at laboratory temperatures. A schematic diagram of the permeability measurement equipment is shown in Karato (in press). Measurements of permeability were made by applying a constant head pressure \( P \) of about 70 bars (kept constant to within 0.5\% during a run) under about 150 bars confining pressure, and by measuring the volume of seawater, \( Q \), passed through a sample in a given time interval \( \Delta t \). Permeability, \( k \), of the sample was calculated by Darcy’s law as,

\[
k = \frac{Q \eta L}{\Delta t p A}
\]

where \( \eta \) is the viscosity of seawater \((= 0.01 \text{ poise}) \), \( L \) is the length of the sample, and \( A \) is its cross-sectional area. Measurements of seawater volume flux were made for three time intervals, and the mean of these values was used for the permeability calculation. Further, the validity of Darcy’s law (i.e., the linearity of the relationship between \( P \) and \( Q \)) was checked by measuring the flow rate at several values of head pressure (see Karato, in press). The accuracy of each measurement is about 20\%, and the validity of Darcy’s law was confirmed within this experimental error. However, reproducibility was only about 50\% or less. Plugging of pores by small particles may cause large fluctuations which result in large errors, since the dimensions of the pores are much less than the mesh dimensions of the line filter.

The permeability of basalts ranges from \( 4.0 \times 10^{-17} \) to \( 1.3 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2 \) with a geometric mean of \( 1.3 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2 \). These values are comparable to those of fresh basalts from Hole 418A (Johnson, 1979), but significantly lower than the bulk permeability of ocean crust in this area \( (10^{-11} \text{ to } 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^2) \) estimated from heat flow data and the hydraulic impedance of the sediment layers (Anderson et al., 1977; Karato and Becker, this volume).

Permeability is plotted against porosity in Figure 6 and against electrical resistivity in Figure 7. Although the amount of data is too small to discuss their correlation, it may be interesting to interpret these data by developing a microscopic model of pores from which to estimate pore dimension.

Hubbert (1956; see also Brace, 1977) showed the relation between electrical resistivity and the permeability of a fluid-saturated rock to be,

\[
k = (m^2/k_0) F^{-2} \phi^{-1}
\]

where \( k \) is permeability, \( k_0 \) is a shape factor which can vary between 2 and 3, and \( \phi \) is porosity. Here \( m \) is the hydraulic radius, which is the volume of the interconnected pores divided by their surface area. \( F \) is the formation factor, the ratio of the resistivity of fluid-saturated rock to that of fluid alone.

Combining equations (1) and (3), we have

\[
k = (m^2/k_0) \phi^{2q-1}
\]

or

\[
k = (m^2/k_0) (Q/Q_0)^{1-2q}
\]

or

\[
k = (m^2/k_0) (Q/Q_0)^{-1.48}
\]
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Figure 6. Relationship between permeability and porosity. Solid lines show theoretical relations (4') with several values of m (hydraulic radius).

Figure 7. Relationship between permeability and electrical resistivity. Solid lines show theoretical relations (5') with several values of m (hydraulic radius).

Relations (4') and (5') for various values of m (for $k_0 = 2.5$) are shown in Figures 6 and 7. From these, we can estimate the hydraulic radius (− pore size) at 0.009 to 0.07 µm from the $k$ versus $\Phi$ relation and at 0.02 to 0.05 µm from the $k$ versus $\rho$ relation, respectively. Pore size thus estimated is an average value. Petrographic observation of thin sections shows that there are many pores whose size is larger than 10 µm. The results, therefore, suggest that these large pores may be isolated or connected by small size pores, and the latter may determine the bulk permeability.

Further, as mentioned earlier, porosity estimated by the present method may include that resulting from isolated pores. Since only connected pores are responsible for fluid flow, the estimate of hydraulic radius should be made by plotting permeability versus porosity due to connected pores. In other words, the data points in Figure 6 should be shifted to the left to some extent. Therefore, the value of $m$ estimated by this method may be the lower limit of the actual value.

The sensitivity of fluid permeability to pore size (see equation 3) suggests that the large difference between the permeability of laboratory samples and that of ocean crust may be attributed to the difference in hydraulic radius (difference in porosity may be less than one order of magnitude). If the relation 4' can be used for large scale flow, we could have estimated the hydraulic radius as being of the order of 10 µm for $\phi \approx 0.1$ and $k \approx 10^{-10}$ cm². Hydrothermal circulation may occur mainly through these relatively large scale fissures, and the majority of basement rocks can react with hydrothermal water only by diffusion of chemical species through pores.
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