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ABSTRACT

Nodules occur in the siliceous calcareous ooze and siliceous marl at Site 503 in the eastern equatorial Pacific. They are present below a depth of about 11 meters throughout the green-colored reduced part of the section down to 228 meters, although they are most abundant between 30 and 85 meters. They are cylindrical or barrel-shaped, up to 70 mm long, and usually have an axial channel through them or are hollow. They appear to have formed around and/or within burrows. XRD studies and microprobe analyses show that they are homogeneous and consist of calcian rhodochrosite and minor calcite; Mn is present to the extent of about 30%. Isotopic analyses of the carbonate give carbon values which range from -1.2‰ to -3.8‰, and oxygen isotope compositions vary from +4.0‰ to +6.0‰. These values are different from those for marine-derived carbonates as exemplified by the soft sediment filling of a burrow: δ¹³C, -0.26‰; δ¹⁸O, +1.05‰. The carbon isotope data indicate that carbonate derived (possibly indirectly) from seawater was mixed with some produced by organic diagenesis to form the nodules. The δ¹⁸O values suggest that although they formed near the sediment surface, some modification or the introduction of additional diagenetic carbonate occurred during burial.

OCCURRENCE AND MORPHOLOGY

Nodules were found within the Neogene pelagic sediments cored at Site 503 in the eastern equatorial Pacific (see site chapter). They occur in situ from a depth of 13 meters (503B-4-1, 143 cm) down to 228 meters (503A-53-2, 12 cm), though they are abundant only between depths of about 30 and 85 meters (Fig. 1). Some nodules also occur in the disturbed upper part of cores (see Introduction, this volume) at levels which must be considered their maximum depth of occurrence. They are all restricted to the "reducing" green-colored subunits IB and IC of the sequence (Fig. 1).

The nodules are generally cylindrical (Fig. 2A, C, D), though some are barrel-shaped (Fig. 2B, E), and are about 50 to 70 mm long. In situ, the nodules were found with their long axes oriented vertically. They are hollow, usually having axial channels running through them. These channels occasionally contain pellets (e.g., Fig. 2A) that are similar to those in some of the open burrows which occur down to 64 meters at this site and are thought to be fecal in origin (cf. Fig. 6, site chapter, this volume). Because of these characteristics the nodules are believed to have formed within the sediment around burrows. This is borne out by two occurrences of hardening around burrows at 13 meters (503B-4-1, 143 cm and 503B-4-2, 36 cm), which probably represent partially formed or incipient nodules. In some instances the axial channels contain unconsolidated sediment (e.g., Fig. 2C) but in others they have been washed clean during sawing in half for curation (e.g., Fig. 2D). Occasionally the structure of the axial channel is complex (e.g., Fig. 2E), perhaps because the original sediment infilling of the channel was itself burrowed and subsequently became partially lithified around the secondary burrows. The nodules are fine grained but often visibly zoned parallel to the axial channels, with darker zones around the channel and lighter ones towards the exterior (e.g., Fig. 2A). Also, small pores or channels, up to 1 mm in diameter, permeate the nodules. They may be voids created during the formation of the nodules, or they may be postformational borings.

We have been unable to find reports of similar nodules from the deep sea though morphologically similar nodules are known from shelf environments (e.g., flint nodules from the Cretaceous chalk of South England—Fig. 2F). H. Cook (personal communication) recollects that similar nodules occur within the nannofossil ooze drilled on Leg 9, but we were unable to find any reference to these in Hays et al. (1972). In order to elucidate the nature and origin of the nodules we have undertaken various analyses, which are discussed below.

MINERALOGICAL AND CHEMICAL ANALYSES

X-Ray Diffraction Analyses

Samples from two nodules (503A-27-1, 23 cm and 503A-33-1, 108 cm) were examined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using powder photographs. Samples from both nodules and from the separate visible zones gave similar results. The main phase appears to be a calcian rhodochrosite with a d-spacing at 2.87 Å, compared to 2.84 Å for rhodochrosite and 3.04 Å for calcite. Although the diffraction data for kutnahorite show some differences from those for the nodule carbonate, this mineral cannot be eliminated absolutely and must be considered as a possible alternative identification. The lines on the film were rather diffuse, suggesting a poorly crystallized mineral. Minor-to-trace amounts of better crystallized calcite was the only other phase identified in any of the samples.
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Microprobe Analyses

We carried out microprobe analyses in order to see whether or not the nodules are chemically homogeneous and, in particular, to find out whether the visible internal zones of the nodules differ in their chemical compositions.

The samples were first analyzed using an energy-dispersive electron microprobe: a Link Systems 860 with Kevex E.D. attached to a Cambridge Instruments Geoscan. An accelerating voltage of 20 kV, a specimen current on the Faraday cage of 2.50 × 10⁻⁸ A, 100-s live-time counting time, and synthetic and pure mineral and pure metal standards were used. The samples were then analyzed using a wavelength-dispersive Cambridge Instrument Microscan 9 microprobe: a Link Systems 860 with Kevex E.D. attached to a geoscan. An accelerating voltage of 15 kV, a specimen current on the Faraday cage of 2.50 × 10⁻⁸ A, 100-s live-time counting time, and synthetic and pure mineral and pure metal standards were used. The analyses, which are arranged in order of the nodules are chemically homogeneous and, in particular, to find out whether the visible internal zones of the nodules differ in their chemical compositions.

No systematic variation was found across the macroscopic zones within the nodules. Also, the analyses, which are arranged in order of increasing silicon content in Table 1, show no clear interelement variation, although iron tends to increase and magnesium, and to some extent calcium, to decrease with increasing silicon content. The variation in composition must reflect the incorporation in the nodules of lithogenous clays and biogenic silica and calcite, which, because of the fine-grained nature of the material, could not be resolved by microprobe.

We therefore, consider it unrealistic to calculate an exact compositional formula for the nodules from these data, although we do believe that the mean given in Table 1 indicates the relative proportions of the constituents of the nodule carbonate.

As well as analyzing the microcrystalline "matrix" of the nodules, we also carried out partial analyses of patches of clear crystalline material, which were not recognizable as fragments of microfossils and gave variable Ca:Mn ratios. Some of these grains contain little manganese, whereas others have atomic ratios for calcium and manganese of about 1:1. For example, one crystal (calculated as carbonate) gave the analysis MnCO₃, 48.6%; MgCO₃, 3.68%; CaCO₃, 48.2%, totaling 100.5% and having the formula Ca₃(Mg₉,Mn₈)(CO₃)₂. Because these clear crystalline patches in the nodules appear to be calcite or carbonates intermediate in composition between the "matrix" of the nodules and calcite, they may represent biogenic calcite in the process of being altered to the rhodochrosite which makes up the nodules. The analyses of any possible organic matter. As a check, samples of the laboratory calcite standard were treated similarly at the same time. Subsequent microprobe analysis confirmed that the treatment had no effect on pure calcite; and that the untreated nodule samples gave reliable results, implying a negligible organic content. Approximately 10 mg of each sample were reacted with 100% phosphoric acid in vacuo at 25°C, following a method essentially similar to that described by Mc-Clene (1953). The resultant carbon dioxide was analyzed isotopically using a VG, isotopic 903S triple collector mass spectrometer. The isotopic composition is expressed as parts per thousand (%) variation from the international PDB standard. The positive and negative values are referred to as heavy and light, respectively.

The isotopic analysis of carbonates by this, the usual, method produces gas which differs from the solid in its oxygen isotope composition by about 10%. The difference varies with the mineralogy of the carbonate (Sharma and Clayton, 1965) and a simple correction can be used. For this purpose the samples were assumed to be pure calcite, and the correction for the proportion of MnCO₃ was not applied. A sample of pure MnCO₃ will thus have a δ¹⁸O value which is 0.13‰ too negative. In addition, the usual corrections for isotopic interferences and instrumental effects were applied to the raw data (Craig, 1957; Deines, 1970). The results are given in Table 2; both δ¹³C and δ¹⁸O are with respect to PDB. All the samples in Table 2 are nodular carbonates, with the one exception of Sample 503B-19-3, 18-20 cm, supports the shipboard observation, when the nodules were first cut open, that blackening around the axial channel in a number of nodules probably indicates the presence of sulfides. The isotopic analysis of carbonates by this, the usual, method produces gas which differs from the solid in its oxygen isotope composition by about 10%. The difference varies with the mineralogy of the carbonate (Sharma and Clayton, 1965) and a simple correction can be used. For this purpose the samples were assumed to be pure calcite, and the correction for the proportion of MnCO₃ was not applied. A sample of pure MnCO₃ will thus have a δ¹⁸O value which is 0.13‰ too negative. In addition, the usual corrections for isotopic interferences and instrumental effects were applied to the raw data (Craig, 1957; Deines, 1970). The results are given in Table 2; both δ¹³C and δ¹⁸O are with respect to PDB. All the samples in Table 2 are nodular carbonates, with the one exception of Sample 503B-19-3, 18-20 cm, supports the shipboard observation, when the nodules were first cut open, that blackening around the axial channel in a number of nodules probably indicates the presence of sulfides. The isotopic analysis of carbonates by this, the usual, method produces gas which differs from the solid in its oxygen isotope composition by about 10%. The difference varies with the mineralogy of the carbonate (Sharma and Clayton, 1965) and a simple correction can be used. For this purpose the samples were assumed to be pure calcite, and the correction for the proportion of MnCO₃ was not applied. A sample of pure MnCO₃ will thus have a δ¹⁸O value which is 0.13‰ too negative. In addition, the usual corrections for isotopic interferences and instrumental effects were applied to the raw data (Craig, 1957; Deines, 1970). The results are given in Table 2; both δ¹³C and δ¹⁸O are with respect to PDB. All the samples in Table 2 are nodular carbonates, with the one exception of Sample 503B-19-3, 18-20 cm, supports the shipboard observation, when the nodules were first cut open, that blackening around the axial channel in a number of nodules probably indicates the presence of sulfides. The isotopic analysis of carbonates by this, the usual, method produces gas which differs from the solid in its oxygen isotope composition by about 10%. The difference varies with the mineralogy of the carbonate (Sharma and Clayton, 1965) and a simple correction can be used. For this purpose the samples were assumed to be pure calcite, and the correction for the proportion of MnCO₃ was not applied. A sample of pure MnCO₃ will thus have a δ¹⁸O value which is 0.13‰ too negative. In addition, the usual corrections for isotopic interferences and instrumental effects were applied to the raw data (Craig, 1957; Deines, 1970). The results are given in Table 2; both δ¹³C and δ¹⁸O are with respect to PDB. All the samples in Table 2 are nodular carbonates, with the one exception of Sample 503B-19-3, 18-20 cm, supports the shipboard observation, when the nodules were first cut open, that blackening around the axial channel in a number of nodules probably indicates the presence of sulfides. The isotopic analysis of carbonates by this, the usual, method produces gas which differs from the solid in its oxygen isotope composition by about 10%. The difference varies with the mineralogy of the carbonate (Sharma and Clayton, 1965) and a simple correction can be used. For this purpose the samples were assumed to be pure calcite, and the correction for the proportion of MnCO₃ was not applied. A sample of pure MnCO₃ will thus have a δ¹⁸O value which is 0.13‰ too negative. In addition, the usual corrections for isotopic interferences and instrumental effects were applied to the raw data (Craig, 1957; Deines, 1970). The results are given in Table 2; both δ¹³C and δ¹⁸O are with respect to PDB. All the samples in Table 2 are nodular carbonates, with the one exception of Sample 503B-19-3, 18-20 cm, supports the shipboard observation, when the nodules were first cut open, that blackening around the axial channel in a number of nodules probably indicates the presence of sulfides.
The occurrence of manganese-rich carbonate nodules of this type in this environment has not been reported before, to our knowledge, but the diagenetic behavior of manganese has been described in a number of publications very well summarized by Froelich et al. (1979). The movement of manganese in a sediment is controlled by oxidation of organic matter with concomitant reduction of MnO\(_2\) buried with the sediment; the resultant soluble Mn\(^{2+}\) diffuses up, driven by a concentration gradient produced by its fixation on oxidation to MnO\(_2\) at the base of the oxic zone. In this way manganese is stripped from the accumulating sediment and concentrated near its surface (Lynn and Bonatti, 1965). The presence of manganese-rich carbonate nodules indicates that their formation is interfering with the normal cycle by providing an alternative sink for Mn\(^{2+}\).

The presence of the manganese-rich carbonate nodules throughout the length of the core implies that they are formed over a considerable range of depths or, more probably, that they are produced near the sediment surface and survive burial with the sediment. The former possibility cannot be ignored, because if the reoxidation of manganese to MnO\(_2\) in the oxic zone is not complete then the pore waters would become saturated with Mn\(^{2+}\). This situation could arise from an increase in the rate of sedimentation or the advent of less-oxic conditions in the bottom waters. If this were the case, any of the successive zones of diagenesis of organic matter could produce carbonate which would be manganiferous. The fact that these concretionary carbonates have mineralogically similar burrow-fills suggests a high-level origin but does not preclude a subsequent infilling of void space. Equally, however, burrows do form microenvironments which can allow local less-oxic conditions to prevail: this effect can be seen in the early local precipitation of pyrite before a sediment has been buried to an extent sufficient for general sulfate reduction to occur (Coleman and Hudson, 1980). Stable isotope results should give additional evidence on the formation of these carbonates.

**Carbon Isotopes**

The diagenetic degradation of organic matter in mudstones, by successive processes during burial, produces carbonates with a mineralogy and isotope composition characteristic of each particular process (Curtis, 1977). However, from all those operating at higher level zones (early with respect to the time of sedimentation), the carbon of the neoformed carbonate is similar to that of the original organic matter. This isotope composition is noticeably greatly depleted in \(^{13}\)C with respect to marine carbonate, which has a value near 0\(\%\) with respect to PDB, which is itself a marine carbonate. Thus organogenetic carbonates are isotopically distinctive and may have values as negative as -20\(\%\) to -30\(\%\) (Hudson, 1977).

The carbonates from these nodules all have values in the range -1.2\(\%\) to -3.8\(\%\), noticeably lighter than the values for primary marine carbonate and not at all similar to those for organic-derived carbonate produced by sulfate reduction (about -15\(\%\)), as reported by Coleman and Raiswell (1981). In the latter case dilution of pure organic-derived carbonate with marine carbonate has been shown probable. In the present study, it appears that the system is dominated by marine carbonate with only a small organogenetic component. The sediment filling of one nodule (Sample 503B-8-2, 70-72A) has a carbon value of -0.26\(\%\), more typical of marine carbonate.

The nodule sample with the heaviest carbon value is Sample 503A-53-2, 12-14 cm, which is also the deepest one. By plotting \(^{13}\)C against depth (Figs. 3 and 4), a possible trend to heavier values with depth can be seen toward the bottom of Hole 503A; but the data from Hole 503B, which are shallower samples, show no trends.

There are two possible implications of the overall carbon isotope composition. It is possible that the nodules were formed near the sediment surface where downward diffusion of dissolved bicarbonate and upward migration of organogenetic bicarbonate allowed the latter's contribution to be diluted considerably. Alternatively, the carbonate may have been precipitated from pore waters in which dissolution of marine carbonate was the dominant source and which need not occur at such a high level. The depth of precipitation of the carbonate may be indicated by its oxygen isotope composition, as will be discussed.

**Oxygen Isotopes**

The oxygen isotope composition of a carbonate mineral depends on that of the water from which the mineral precipitated, but it is fractionated from that value by an amount which is a precise function of temperature. The calibration of isotopic fractionation as a function of temperature in the low-temperature range is not known for manganese carbonate. Nevertheless, changes in temperature will produce effects in the same sense for all carbonates (O'Neil et al., 1969); that is, at higher temperatures the carbonate has a more negative \(^{18}\)O value. During burial, successive diagenetic carbonates will have progressively more negative \(^{18}\)O with increase in temperature, but this effect is enhanced by alterations in the isotope composition of the pore water. As a result of diagenetic reactions the pore water may become up to 3\(\%\) lighter (Lawrence et al., 1975). Although this may complicate the calculation of temperatures, the oxygen isotope composition of carbonates do give accurate information on their relative depths of formation.

Examination of Table 2 shows that the oxygen isotope values are very much heavier than those produced in a normal marine environment. If the samples were calcite this would imply impossible (subzero) temperatures. However, the fractionation of oxygen isotopes between manganese carbonate and water is greater than that of calcite at higher temperatures (O'Neil et al., 1969) and may apply at lower temperatures too. When the oxygen data for the two holes are plotted against depth (Figs. 5 and 6) it can be seen that there is a general trend to lighter values with depth for Hole 503A but not
Figure 2. A–E, nodules from Site 503; F is a flint nodule from the Cretaceous chalk of South England.
Table 1. Microprobe analyses (wt. %).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample (interval in cm)</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Total(^a)</th>
<th>Probe(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>503A-15-2, 57-60</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>GS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503A-15-2, 57-60</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>GS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503A-31-1, 5-7</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503A-31-1, 5-7</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503A-31-1, 5-7</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>107.4</td>
<td>GS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503A-31-1, 5-7</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-13-1, 6-8</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-13-1, 6-8</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-13-1, 6-8</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-13-1, 6-8</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-13-1, 6-8</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-13-1, 6-8</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-13-1, 6-8</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-13-1, 6-8</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-13-1, 6-8</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-13-1, 6-8</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-13-1, 6-8</td>
<td>9.79</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>GS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-13-1, 6-8</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-13-1, 6-8</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-16-2, 105-107</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>GS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-16-2, 105-107</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>GS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-16-2, 105-107</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>GS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-16-2, 105-107</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>GS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-19-3, 18-20</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-19-3, 18-20</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-19-3, 18-20</td>
<td>9.66</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-19-3, 15-20</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503B-19-3, 18-20</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>b.d</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>Mk 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean(^c)</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>&lt;0.61</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>&lt;1.36</td>
<td>&lt;0.26</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.19</td>
<td>&lt;0.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Analysts were J. C. Bevan and A. J. Fleet. b.d = below detection limit; — = not determined.

\(^a\) Total calculated as CaCO\(_3\), FeO*, MgCO\(_3\), SiO\(_2\), Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), MnCO\(_3\), Na\(_2\)O, K\(_2\)O, P\(_2\)O\(_5\), and SO\(_2\).

\(^b\) Probes used: GS—Geoscan; Mk 9—Microscan 9 microprobe.

\(^c\) < indicates that some analyses, not included in mean, gave results below the adopted detection limit.

---

Figure 3. Variation of \(\delta^{13}C\) of carbonate with depth for Hole 503A. (* = sample is not in situ.)

Figure 4. Variation of \(\delta^{13}C\) of carbonate with depth for Hole 503B. (* = sample is not in situ.)
Table 2. Isotope results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Sample (interval in cm)</th>
<th>Subsample</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$</th>
<th>$\delta^{18}O$</th>
<th>Average values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>503A-10-1, 83-92</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>-3.84</td>
<td>+5.76</td>
<td>-3.52 0.31 +5.64 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>-3.69</td>
<td>+5.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>-3.50</td>
<td>+5.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td>-3.10</td>
<td>+5.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>503A-13-2, 58-60</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>+5.61</td>
<td>-2.28 0.09 +5.45 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>-2.37</td>
<td>+5.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>-2.23</td>
<td>+5.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td>-2.11</td>
<td>+5.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>503A-15-2, 57-60</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>-2.57</td>
<td>+5.77</td>
<td>-2.19 0.19 +5.53 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>-2.50</td>
<td>+5.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>-2.46</td>
<td>+5.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td>-2.40</td>
<td>+5.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>503A-20-2, 117-119</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>-2.68</td>
<td>+5.44</td>
<td>-2.59 0.24 +5.40 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>-2.62</td>
<td>+5.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>-2.57</td>
<td>+5.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td>-2.54</td>
<td>+5.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112.0</td>
<td>503A-27-1, 23-26</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>-2.74</td>
<td>+5.57</td>
<td>-2.29 0.20 +5.51 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>-2.73</td>
<td>+5.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>-2.71</td>
<td>+5.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td>-2.70</td>
<td>+5.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129.5</td>
<td>503A-31-1, 5-7</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>-2.53</td>
<td>+5.42</td>
<td>-2.40 0.21 +5.46 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>-2.50</td>
<td>+5.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>+5.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td>-2.44</td>
<td>+5.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139.3</td>
<td>503A-33-1, 108-110</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>-2.49</td>
<td>+5.40</td>
<td>-2.40 0.22 +5.50 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>-2.41</td>
<td>+5.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>-2.39</td>
<td>+5.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td>-2.37</td>
<td>+5.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164.6</td>
<td>503A-39-1, Top A</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>-2.55</td>
<td>+5.43</td>
<td>-2.48 0.22 +5.55 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>-2.53</td>
<td>+5.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>-2.51</td>
<td>+5.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td>-2.50</td>
<td>+5.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164.6</td>
<td>503A-39-1, Top B</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>-2.53</td>
<td>+5.41</td>
<td>-2.50 0.23 +5.55 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>-2.51</td>
<td>+5.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>-2.50</td>
<td>+5.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td>-2.50</td>
<td>+5.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>227.8</td>
<td>503A-32-1, 12-13</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>-2.25</td>
<td>+5.44</td>
<td>-2.30 0.26 +5.53 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>-2.24</td>
<td>+5.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>-2.23</td>
<td>+5.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td>-2.22</td>
<td>+5.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314.5</td>
<td>503A-32-1, 1, 2-3</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>-2.52</td>
<td>+5.45</td>
<td>-2.52 0.27 +5.53 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>-2.51</td>
<td>+5.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>-2.50</td>
<td>+5.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td>-2.49</td>
<td>+5.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401.5</td>
<td>503B-32-1, 6-7</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>-2.50</td>
<td>+5.43</td>
<td>-2.50 0.27 +5.53 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>-2.49</td>
<td>+5.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>-2.48</td>
<td>+5.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>+5.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>487.0</td>
<td>503B-32-1, 8-9</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>-2.50</td>
<td>+5.43</td>
<td>-2.50 0.27 +5.53 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td>-2.49</td>
<td>+5.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>-2.48</td>
<td>+5.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>+5.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Subsamples are listed in order from the outside of each nodule to the inside; *= indicates sample is not in situ.

Figure 5. Variation of $\delta^{13}O$ of carbonate with depth for Hole 503A. (* = sample is not in situ.)

for Hole 503B. The difference results from the sample coverage for the two holes, the latter extending only to 80 meters. Thus it appears that in the upper part of the system there is a more random variation in $\delta^{18}O$ but below about 100 meters there is a trend to lighter values (data for both holes together are shown in Fig. 7). The exception to this trend is Sample 503B-8-2, 70-72A, which is the soft sediment filling of a burrow and gives values typical of a normal, non-manganoan marine carbonate. The trends themselves may be blurred by the fact that many samples are not in situ. It may be possible to relate the small scale variations in $\delta^{18}O$ in the upper parts of the holes to variations in seawater $\delta^{18}O$, similar to those measured by Shackleton and Opdyke (1976).

The exact reason for the relatively more negative $\delta^{18}O$ of the carbonates from greater depths is not immediately apparent. If we assume that the nodules were formed near the sediment surface, then the isotope composition of the carbonate might have been changed by addition of successive diagenetic carbonates formed at deeper levels and filling residual pore space. Even if subsequent carbonates were not precipitated, the production of bicarbonate by other organic degradation processes would allow ready exchange. In this way, and also in the absence of additional bicarbonate, the poorly crystallized manganese carbonates could exchange isotopically at higher temperatures and with possibly isotopically more negative pore water. In an attempt to elucidate this problem a more detailed analysis was made of the data from the subsamples within each nodule.

Isotopic Variation within Nodules

The variations in $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{18}O$ for subsamples within five of the nodules are shown in Figure 8. Full sample numbers are not given but the numbers 1 to 5, respectively, refer to Samples 503A-10-1, 83-92 cm; 503A-27-1, 23-26 cm; 503A-31-1, 5-7 cm; 503A-33-1, 108-110 cm.
Figure 7. Variation of δ¹⁸O of carbonates with depth for Holes 5O3A and 5O3B (* = sample is not in situ.)

Figure 8. Carbon and oxygen isotope compositions of successive subsamples from outside to within nodules.

CONCLUSIONS

Our work so far has not allowed us to reach firm conclusions about the mode of genesis of these nodules. Nevertheless, certain alternatives can be presented. Two sources of carbonate might be involved. One could be marine bicarbonate from either the overlying seawater or from pore water (the latter may have acquired its carbonate by dissolution of primary carbonate at depth). The other source of carbonate could be oxidation of organic matter by MnO²⁺, or possibly by any of the successive processes which might occur on burial of the sediment.

In either case the carbonate has precipitated from pore waters very rich in Mn²⁺. It appears probable that the burrows play an essential part in the process but whether as producers of solutions saturated in bicarbonate by dissolution of pellets or as localized microenvironments is not clear. These questions may be resolved by additional work being done on the samples.
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