ABSTRACT

Dispersed organic matter of plant origin from three sites of the Middle America Trench transect was investigated by coal petrographic methods. Samples from the slope region are rich in lipoid and inert substances. Humic matter is predominant in the trench sediments. Reflectance measurements show that the rank of the organic matter is peat, independent of the tectonic position and age of the samples in question. A slow increase of coalification with depth is observed.

INTRODUCTION

Leg 67 samples, collected primarily for mineralogical investigations at the Ruhr Universität Bochum, contain some plant remains. This observation suggested that coalification studies should be added to the petrological program to obtain more information on the diagenesis of the sediments.

METHOD

The samples were washed with water, and the light particles floating on the water surface were collected, dried, and mounted in polyester. The plugs obtained were polished and investigated in incident white light. Besides foraminifers and other microfossils, some organic compounds, most of them of plant origin, were observed. This dispersed organic matter (DOM) is described in the following text.

COMPOSITION OF THE DISPERSED ORGANIC MATTER

The amount of DOM was very low as a rule. Most of the samples contained only a few particles of different origin. The approximate composition of DOM is compiled in Table 1. Liptinite consists of bitumens, resins, algal remains, and so on. The Huminite group contains Textinite and Ulminite (remains of nongelified and gelified tissues), Corrhopuminite (former humic-cell fillings), Attrinite and Densinite (nongelified and gelified detrital humic matter), and amorphous humic substances. The Inertinite group contains oxidized remains of different origin. Partly the inerts are fungal remains and Fusinite of primary origin, partly they are reworked components. Whether oxidation of the organic matter is synsedimentary or the result of reworking could not be decided in every case, therefore, all the remains are grouped together. In some cases reworked particles of former coal seams (sub-bituminous and bituminous coal) are identified. They are counted separately because this observation may have paleogeographic implications.

Table 1 shows the results of the maceral group analyses. The Site 496 Quaternary and Pliocene samples from the midslope contain mostly Liptinite and Inertinite. In contrast, the deepest lower Miocene sediment is rich in humic matter. Aboard ship, “small lignite bands” were noted in the corresponding depth interval. The observed DOM of this sample (496-38-1, 79-81 cm) may represent these small lignite bands. On the slope the influx of reworked material is remarkable (von Huene et al., 1980). The amount of reworked particles is higher than that of the original plant remains in some samples and complicates our recognizing those humic remains that represent the true coalification.

The samples of Sites 499 and 500 from the trench floor are characterized by high amounts of Huminite, whereas Liptinite is sometimes rare.

COALIFICATION STUDIES

Only a few humic particles could be used for coalification studies by reflectance measurements. Some of the
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particles belong to the dark variety (Textinite A, Umli-  
nite A), which is not suitable for reflectance measure-  
ments (International Handbook of Coal Petrography,  
1971). Other particles are too small. Therefore, particu-  
larly for Site 496, the results are the average of a small  
number of single readings. Random reflectance was de-  
termined using the Leitz Orthoplan with microscope  
photometer MPV1 equipped with a 50 × /0.85P oil im-  
mersion objective. The method is described in detail in  
the International Handbook of Coal Petrography (1971).

The data (Table 2) show low reflectance values—cor-  
responding to the peat stage—in the uppermost samples  
of all sites, independent of their geotectonic position.  
Near the Quaternary/Tertiary boundary a slow increase  
of coalification is observed at Site 496. However, even  
the lowest early Miocene sample yet contains peat-stage  
remains.

These results from the coalification studies are the  
same as described by Teichmüller (1968) from the nearly  
200-meter-thick peat seam at Philippi (Greece). The peat  
was deposited in the Quaternary. She measured reflect-  
ance values between 0.14% Rm (depth = 1 m) and  
0.22% Rm² (depth = 178 m). Regardless of whether  
Quaternary plant accumulations are studied from the  
forelands of the Alps (Koch, 1966), from Greece, or  
now from the Middle America Trench transect off Guate-  
amala, the peat/lignite transition has not yet been  
found to have occurred. One exception is known, how-  
ever, from the northern part of West Germany, where  
lignite occurs in early Quaternary sediments and is ex-  
plained by the high pressure exerted by the continental  
ice sheet (Brunnacker et al., 1975). Because of the weight  
of the overlying ice, the water was squeezed out and the  
peat was compressed; consequently, lignite was formed.

In comparison with results from coalification studies  
of the U.S. Gulf Coast (Teichmüller and Teichmüller,  
1966), in which humic inclusions in claysstones of the up-  
permost middle Miocene (encountered at a depth of  
5440 meters) were found to have reached the stage of  
high volatile bituminous coal (0.95% Rm), the Leg 67  
studies yielded data that lead us to conclude that the  
lower Miocene sediments from Site 496, with their plant  
remains indicating the peat stage, were never exposed to  
increased temperatures.

On the whole, the Leg 67 coalification studies allow  
us to make two conclusions: At these sites, there is (1) a  
lack of a thick overburden, and (2) a low temperature  
gradient in the Middle America Trench transect off Guate-  
amala.

Table 2. Reflectance measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample (core-section, interval in cm)</th>
<th>( \bar{R}_r ) (oil) a ( % )</th>
<th>( n ) b</th>
<th>( s ) c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site 496, midslope</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-1, 10-12</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-1, 39-41</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-2, 43-45</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-4, 36-40</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38-1, 79-84</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 499, trench floor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4, 132-134</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-1, 49-51</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-2, 121-123</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-2, 44-46</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 500, trench floor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-1, 53-55</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a \( \bar{R}_r \) = average of random reflectance.

b \( n \) = number of measurements.

c \( s \) = standard deviation.
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