INTRODUCTION

A knowledge of the electrical resistivity of ocean-floor rocks is important for the interpretation of field measurements of electrical resistivity and for an understanding of the composition and structure of these rocks. In situ measurement of sea-floor resistivity using artificial sources is possible despite the low-resistivity seawater (Coggan and Morrison, 1970). No surveys have been reported, but they may be expected in the near future. There have been a number of artificial source measurements on oceanic islands (Hermance et al., 1972). Natural electromagnetic induction has been extensively studied on oceanic islands: Hermance and Garland (1968) and Hermance (1973) on Iceland; Klein (1971) on Hawaii; Elvers et al. (1970) on Puerto Rico; Berdichevskiy and Chernyavskiy (1970) on Indian Ocean islands; and unpublished data on the Azores (J. F. Hermance, and R. Thayer, personal communication) and on Bermuda (D. I. Gough, personal communication). Deep ocean-floor magnetotelluric measurements have been reported from off California by Cox et al. (1970) and Greenhouse (1972), off Peru by Richards (1970), in the Arctic Ocean by Schneyer and Fonarev (1968), and in the Atlantic Ocean (R. P. Von Herzen, personal communication). Ocean-floor resistivity also has been estimated from the geomagnetic-induction coast effect (Schmucker, 1970) although the effect is primarily due to the conductive seawater. These different approaches all suggest lower resistivity beneath the sea floor than generally exists beneath continents.

The electrical resistivity of most rocks decreases rapidly with increasing temperature so the lower sea-floor resistivity may be associated with higher temperatures, compared to the same depth beneath continents. The higher temperatures are required by the similar surface-heat flow and the higher radioactive heat production in continental crustal rocks (Bullard, 1963; Clark and Ringwood, 1964; Selater and Franchetteau, 1970). A consistent electrical resistivity and thermal model for the crust and upper mantle beneath Iceland has been produced by Hermance and Grillot (1973). However, there is an indication of low resistivity at depths too shallow beneath the sea floor to be readily explained by high temperatures within rocks of the resistivity generally found in laboratory studies of basaltic and ultramafic rocks (Cox et al., 1970). Most laboratory resistivity measurements have been made on continental or oceanic-island samples, and it is becoming increasingly clear that the minor-element compositions and physical properties of such rocks are not representative of rocks beneath the deep-ocean floors. Thus, there is a need for a more extensive survey of the resistivity of ocean-floor rocks, particularly to see if they might be more conductive than previously thought. The Deep Sea Drilling Project provides a unique opportunity to obtain representative samples of upper crustal rocks.

The electrical resistivity of most dry basaltic rocks at low temperatures (less than 100°C) ranges between 10^4 and 10^5 ohm-m (Coster, 1948; Khitarov and Slutskiy, 1965; Parkhomenko, 1967; and Bondarenko, 1968). Since most rocks to at least a depth of 5 km are likely saturated with aqueous solutions (Brace, 1971), laboratory measurements on saturated rocks are more relevant. The salinity of the saturating solution affects the resistivity, but not as much as might be expected since surface conductivity effects reduce the resistivity for low-salinity solutions (Brace et al., 1965; Keller and Frischknecht, 1966). A large number of measurements of saturated continental volcanic rocks have been made at 1 atm pressure (Keller, 1966) and a few under pressure (Brace and Orange, 1968; Stesky and Brace, 1973). At oceanic-crustal temperatures and pressures (0.5-2 kb and 0-100°C) the continental basalts and gabbros have a resistivity from 10^4 to 10^5 ohm-m (one gabbro from Nahant, Massachusetts was much more conductive).

Four basalt samples from Iceland reported by Hermance et al. (1972) have a very similar resistivity. However, five deep ocean-floor samples dredged from the Indian Ocean have significantly lower resistivities of 10^2 to 2 × 10^3 ohm-m (Cox, 1971; Stesky and Brace, in preparation) as do three samples from DSDP sites. It thus seems that continental and oceanic-island basalts may be more resistive than ocean-floor basalts by a factor of 100.

RESISTIVITY MEASUREMENTS

Resistivity was measured to 2-kb pressure and room temperature on core samples which were 2.5 cm in diameter and about 5 cm in length. The ends were painted with silver conducting epoxy resin and the samples held between two aluminum electrodes of the same diameter. Both sample and electrodes were then encased in an impervious rubber jacket. The sample-electrode face was perforated with holes leading to reservoirs in the electrodes to take up pore water squeezed from the sample. The design is similar to that of Brace et al. (1965). The ZrC layer they used at the electrode ends does not seem to be necessary (W. F. Brace, personal communication). The resistivity was measured at 100 Hz for all samples and an additional range of frequencies for some. There is very little frequency dependence, at least to 10 kHz. The measured resistivity became constant after changes in pressure in less than 10 minutes.
The accuracy of resistivity measurement is about 10% and values are reproducible to 10% to 20%. Pressure values are reproducible to 2%.

The samples were saturated in seawater (0.30 ohm-m) for a minimum of 7 days before measurement which gave reproducible results for pressures above 0.25 kb, suggesting fairly complete saturation. The water appears to be forced into any unfilled pores at moderate pressures in these samples. Samples can be saturated by placing them in a vacuum and then dropping them into water (Brace et al., 1965), but our experience is that shelf drying does not remove the water from nearly closed pore spaces so only the more open pores need to be resaturated. Oven heating and evacuating does remove some of the tightly held water which is difficult to restore. The possibility of incomplete saturation makes our resistivity measurements maximum values. The resistivity of the pore water in oceanic-crustal rocks is difficult to estimate but, at least at shallow depths, 0.30 ohm-m seawater should be reasonable.

The measured resistivity as a function of pressure, shown in Figure 1, ranges from 20 to 1000 ohm-m. The values changed very little with pressure to 2 kb, the more resistive samples increasing slightly, the less resistive remaining nearly constant. Two cores (257-11 and 257-13) decreased in resistivity with increasing pressure at low pressures, which suggests to us that initially there had been incomplete saturation of these samples. The lack of a rapid increase in resistivity with increasing pressure is in marked contrast to virtually all of the continental and oceanic-island rocks previously reported. Brace et al. (1965) and Brace and Orange (1968) have argued that low resistivity and a small- or negative-pressure effect indicates primarily mineral rather than pore-fluid conduction. The composition, particularly the metallic oxides and the conduction mechanism, are
discussed in the next section. A histogram of numbers of samples versus resistivity at 2-kb pressure is shown in Figure 2.
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**PETROLOGY AND ROCK MAGNETIC MEASUREMENTS**

To try to explain the low observed resistivity and its wide spread, the correlation of resistivity with a number of petrological and rock-magnetic parameters has been examined. The metallic minerals present were likely most important so they were studied through microscope examination of polished surfaces and through Curie temperature measurements. Polished surfaces were prepared from specimens taken directly adjacent to the resistivity samples in all cases except one. The surfaces were polished with successively finer abrasives, finishing with 1µ diamond paste. They were examined using a Reichert Zeto Pan Pol ore microscope using an oil-immersion objective and an effective magnification of 1350 diameters. Careful note was taken of features indicative of the alteration state of the samples, in addition to more conventional observation of the primary oxide grains. The variation of saturation magnetization with temperature was made using 10-mg chips from the samples. Changes in magnetic moment were recorded of a 7.5-kg electromagnet. The samples were heated in air at rates in excess of 200°C/min in order to reach initial Curie temperatures of about 200°C. Resistivity of less than 120 ohm-m does not occur in samples with Curie points of less than 270°C, but does occur in a few samples with Curie points of 270°C and 370°C. All samples with resistivity less than 120 ohm-m are characterized by disseminated hematite.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

The resistivity of seawater-saturated DSDP Leg 26 samples is low, covering a range from 10 to 10² ohm-m at 0.5 kb (oceanic crustal pressure) with a mean of 140 ohm-m, assuming a log-normal distribution. The bulk resistivity that would be found by field measurements would depend on the direction of the measurement current relative to the orientation of the inhomogeneities. For example, if the inhomogeneities are
Figure 3. Resistivity at 0.5 kb versus Curie temperature. Open circles refer to samples containing secondary hematite. The circles joined by lines refer to samples with two Curie temperatures indicating that two magnetic phases are present. Solid circles refer to samples without secondary hematite.

approximately horizontal, say associated with lava flows, natural electromagnetic induction measurements would give approximately the parallel mean resistivity, 85 ohm-m for our material. The pressure dependence of resistivity is very small suggesting that mineral conductance of igneous rocks at high temperatures in the samples is through the sea water electrolyte where the paths are broken.
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