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INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURES

Leg 16 of the Glomar Challenger began in San Cristobal, Panama, and ended in Honolulu, Hawaii. Nine drilling sites were occupied along the way and pore water was collected at seven of these. The most extensive pore water sampling was done at Sites 157 and 158 which are in the Panama Basin area, just off the coast of South and Central America. The lithology at these sites was fairly uniform chalk ooze and marl with various admixtures of volcanic ash and pyrite down to an extrusive basalt basement.

The other pore water samples were collected from sediments taken along the northern edge of the equatorial band of biogenic sediments lying between the Clarion and Clipperton fracture zones. These sediments are somewhat less homogeneous than the nearshore biogenic sediments from the Panama Basin, but are nevertheless very similar to them in most respects. Abundant Fe-Mn oxide material was recovered in many of the samples and while they may be related to the so-called “basal amorphous iron oxide facies” discussed in earlier leg reports dealing with the eastern Pacific, their origin does not appear to be a simple process.

The analytical procedures currently being used in our pore water program are essentially those described in some detail elsewhere (Presley, 1971; Presley and Claypool, 1971). These procedures have evolved as we have sought to get more and better data from the small samples provided by the Deep Sea Drilling Project. Therefore, the procedures used for Leg 16 may, in some instances, be modifications of those described in the references, but any changes are considered not sufficiently significant to warrant a separate description here.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All data that we have obtained to date from the water samples are given in Table 1, except major cation concentrations which were omitted, as they will be discussed in a later report by Sayles and others. Lithium could not be obtained for these samples due to a malfunction of our flame photometer.

Chloride and bromide values have been close to those of average seawater in samples from previous legs, except in locations where the presence of evaporites was known or implied, and a few locations apparently influenced by continental ground water. The Leg 16 samples show small random variations in both components with depth, but since the variations are only slightly larger than our usual analytical error and no duplicate analyses were possible, no real significance can be attached to them.

The most unusual sample is the bottom one from Site 163, which was found to be depleted in both Cl and Br by about 5%. This is most likely a valid measurement because it agrees with the shipboard salinity determination, but it may have no geochemical significance.

The boron concentration shows a gradual increase with depth at Site 157, so that the bottom sample gives twice the seawater value, but at nearby and lithologically similar Site 158 there is a 25% decrease in B concentration with depth. The other sites show little variation in B content.

The dissolved Si concentration is extremely high in all Leg 16 samples, presumably due to the presence of siliceous fossils and volcanic ash. Removal of the water at higher than in situ temperatures may have had a small effect on the Si concentrations, but since the in situ temperatures are not precisely known, this is difficult to evaluate.

Ammonia concentrations increase to high values at depth in the two nearshore sites, such that on a molar basis NH3 almost equals CO2 at Site 157 and is actually higher at Site 158. This has been noted at many sites on previous legs. The central ocean samples from this leg generally had a measurable NH3 concentration, but one about 10 times less than that in the nearshore samples.

With the exception of Site 157 and the upper samples from Site 158, there was little evidence for excess dissolved bicarbonate. As stated above, these higher values generally correlate with higher ammonia concentrations and must be due to decomposition of organic matter. However, in several samples the value of dissolved ΣCO2 dropped below that in normal seawater. In some cases, as in Sample 161-1-2, it probably resulted from loss of CO2 on board ship prior to sampling. In the case of samples from Site 163, dissolved carbonate may have been removed by exchange with a solid phase. In a number of samples isotopically light δC\(^3\) values were obtained. There is no explanation for the values for Samples 159-1-5 and 163-2-4. It is also difficult to understand why samples depleted in dissolved CO2 should be isotopically light. It may indicate upward migration of methane and oxidation near the sediment-water interface.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Age and Description of Sediment</th>
<th>Cl (g/Kg)</th>
<th>Br (mg/Kg)</th>
<th>B (mg/Kg)</th>
<th>Si (mg/Kg)</th>
<th>NH3 (mg/Kg)</th>
<th>Mn (mg/Kg)</th>
<th>ΣCO2 (mM/Kg)</th>
<th>δ13C (PDB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>157-1-10</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>-4.56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157-3-1</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>-3.62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157-5-3</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>-5.93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157-7-4</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>-6.87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157-9-6</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>-2.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157-12-5</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157-15-5</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>-6.87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157-17-6</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157-19-6</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157-28-5</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157-30-6</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>-6.87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157-32-6</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157-35-1</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157-39-1</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Dep depth in sediment column.

*From preliminary hole summaries.

---

TABLE 1
Selected Major and Minor Constituents and δ13C, Interstitial Water, Leg 16

---
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